

HOW DO GALAXIES FORM?

Sidney van den Bergh

Dominion Astrophysical Observatory, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National Research Council of Canada, 5071 West Saanich Road, Victoria, BC, V9E 2E7, Canada

sidney.vandenbergh@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

ABSTRACT

It is currently believed that galaxies were assembled via chaotic hierarchical mergers between massive cold dark matter halos, in which baryonic star forming matter was embedded. One would therefore expect the properties of individual galaxies to be determined by numerous independent factors such as star forming history, merger history, mass, angular momentum, size and environment. It is therefore surprising to find that galaxies actually appear to form an (almost) one parameter family in which galaxy mass is the dominant factor.

New observations often provide a fresh perspective on the Universe, thus providing a new window through which to view ancient problems. Such is the case for a new survey by Disney et al.¹ in which they provide a catalog of galaxies that were detected during a large blind survey of the sky in the 21-cm line of neutral hydrogen. For each of the ~ 200 objects in their catalog the authors measured the total hydrogen mass, the width of the 21-cm line, the redshift and inclination to the line of sight, two radii and the optical luminosity in four different color bands. The HI-selected galaxies appear to have colors made up of two components; a ‘rogue’ color, which probably correlates with recent star forming history, and a systematic component related to the mean age of the stellar population in that galaxy. [Both rogue color and the Hubble morphological types of galaxies are strongly correlated with environment]. Since the nature of a galaxy’s color is complex Disney et al. initially neglect it and concentrate on the other factors that describe the galaxies in their sample. From a principal component analysis of their data they find that the six components that they use to describe the galaxies in their sample (including the systematic color) are all correlated with each other and with a single principal component (mass). In other words galaxies appear to constitute a single ‘Fundamental Line’ in parameter space. Disney et al. argue that such simplicity is difficult to understand within the framework of the hierarchical galaxy merging paradigm. This is so because it is expected that the evolution of individual galaxies will depend on (1) their initial spins (2) on the details of their chaotic merger history and (3) on the density, temperature and turbulence of the environment in which a galaxy is assembled.

The work by Disney et al. follows closely in the footsteps of an earlier study by Gavazzi et al.² in which it was shown that the radii of galaxies, measured at a blue surface brightness of 25.0 magnitudes per square arcsecond, were very closely correlated galaxy luminosity. From this observation Gavazzi et al. concluded that, to first order, galaxy evolution can be described by a single parameter - the initial mass. In particular they pointed out that the Hubble morphological types of a galaxies exhibits little dependence on their structural properties. They therefore concluded that such factors as environment and initial angular momentum induce only second order effects on the overall evolution of galaxies. Surprisingly Gavazzi et al. note that the correlation between the radii and the luminosities of galaxies appears to differ little, if at all, between relatively isolated field galaxies and galaxies that are located in rich clusters. They conclude from these results that gravitational interactions between galaxies may have played a lesser role than previously believed. A similar result was obtained by Girardi et al.³ who divided observations of galaxies in the Virgo cluster into sub-regions: an inner region within $R = 0.5$ Mpc, an intermediate shell with $0.5 < R < 1.0$ Mpc, and an outer zone with $R > 1.0$ Mpc from the cluster center. For the galaxies in each of these three regions of the Virgo cluster Girardi et al. obtained similar luminosity-radius relations. To check on the conclusion that the relation between the luminosities of galaxies and their radii are not strongly correlated with environment van den Bergh⁴ has recently compared the the radii and the luminosities of the 80 brightest galaxies within a distance of 10 Mpc (33 million light- years) of the Sun. These data show that nearby galaxies seem to exhibit the same relationship between radius and luminosity as do the galaxies in great clusters such as Virgo and Coma. Furthermore these nearby galaxies also appear to exhibit no obvious correlations between their luminosity-radius relation and their present environment. In particular no dependence is found on the local mass density as defined by Karachentsev & Malakov⁵.

The mounting volume of evidence discussed above suggests that galaxies constitute an (almost) one parameter family based on mass, with little or no indication of a significant dependence on environmental density. This conclusion poses a number of significant challenges to the prevailing hierarchical merging paradigm. One is reminded of the saying by Danish poet Piet Hein that:

Problems worthy of attack prove their worth by hitting back.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Disney, M. J., Romano, J. D., Garcia-Appadoo, D. A., West, A. A., Dalcanton, J. J. & Cortese, L. *Nature*, XXX, xxx-xxx, 2008

- ² Gavazzi, G., Pierini, D., & Boselli, A. *Astron. Astrophys.* 312, 397-408, 1996
- ³ Girardi, M., Biviano, A., Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F. & Mezzetti, M. *Astrophys. J.* 366, 393-404, 1991
- ⁴ Van den Bergh, S. *Astron. Astrophys.* XXX, xxx-xxx (in press = arXiv:08083.601), 2008
- ⁵ Karachentsev, I. D., & Malakov, D. L. in *IAU Symposium No. 186, Galaxy Interactions at High and Low Redshifts*, eds. J. Barnes and D. B. Saunders (Dordrecht: Kluwer), pp.109-116, 1999